Enhanced dehydrogenation of LiBH₄ catalyzed by carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles[†]

Juan Xu, $^{\pm ab}_{+}$ Xuebin Yu, $^{\pm a}_{+}$ Zhiqing Zou,^{*a*} Zhilin Li,^{*a*} Zhu Wu,^{*a*} Daniel L. Akins^{*c*} and Hui Yang^{**a*}

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 21st July 2008, Accepted 26th August 2008 First published as an Advance Article on the web 1st October 2008 DOI: 10.1039/b812476k

The catalytic dehydrogenation of $LiBH_4$ doped with exceptionally low quantities of carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles can be improved significantly, and smaller Pt nanoparticles result in greater enhanced catalytic dehydrogenation of $LiBH_4$ than do larger Pt nanoparticles.

Hydrogen is widely considered as an ideal energy carrier because its combustion product is water, a zero pollutant. However, safe and efficient hydrogen storage as well as hazards associated with transportation are difficult challenges that must be overcome in order for hydrogen to play a key role in meeting humankind's future energy needs.¹ Presently, hydrogen is available mainly as a high pressure gas in cylinders, as liquid hydrogen in cryogenic tanks, and as adsorbed hydrogen in alloy and carbon materials.² The safety of pressurized cylinders is an issue of concern, especially in highly populated regions, and the low critical temperature for crvogenic storage is a harsh requirement. Also, the amount of adsorbed hydrogen in alloy materials and carbon nanotubes is currently too low for practical applications.³ Consequently, extensive efforts have been directed at developing new hydrogen storage materials.⁴

Because of its high storage capacity of 18.5 wt%, LiBH₄ may be considered as a good candidate for on-board applications that are dependent on total weight. However, extraction of hydrogen from LiBH₄ suffers because of its thermal stability, requiring a temperature of ~400 °C to desorb hydrogen at a pressure of 0.1 MPa.⁵ As a result, recent research efforts have focused on the catalyzed dehydrogenation and rehydrogenation of LiBH₄, in order to lower the H₂ evolution temperature and to increase the hydrogen desorption capacity.

Doping with catalyst has been widely studied as a means of destabilizing LiBH₄. When LiBH₄ is doped with, for example, SiO₂ powder, with a mass ratio of 1 : 3, the temperature of hydrogen desorption is lowered to 240 °C, and about 9 wt% of H₂ can be released below 400 °C.⁶ Further addition of TiF₃ into a mixture of LiBH₄ and SiO₂ shows that the ternary mixture LiBH₄ + 0.2SiO₂ + 0.3TiF₃ could release hydrogen from 70 °C and desorbed 8.3 wt% H₂ below 500 °C.⁷ The

reaction of LiBH₄ with LiNH₂ leads to the formation of a quaternary hydride that can desorb 10 wt% H₂ above 300 °C.⁸ Also, it has been qualitatively verified that LiBH₄ doped with disordered mesoporous carbon, to a mass ratio of 1 : 1, gives rise to a large amount of hydrogen at 332 °C.⁹ The ball milled mixture of LiBH₄ and MgH₂ with a mass ratio of 1 : 4 gives rise to a decrease in dehydrogenation temperature and the formation of a Li–Mg alloy.¹⁰ More recently, carbon nanotubes have been used for doping LiBH₄. Onset of hydrogen desorption starts at 250 °C and the majority of the hydrogen can be released below 600 °C.¹¹

Although catalysts efficiently decrease the dehydrogenation temperature and increase the dehydrogenation capacity, the requisite loading of dopant is too large, leading to an unfavorable weight ratio. Here, we report a doping strategy to improve the dehydrogenating properties of LiBH₄ that involves the addition of small quantities of carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles (Pt/C). We observe that the dehydrogenation properties of LiBH₄ are significantly improved, even for exceptionally low catalyst content.

The mixture of LiBH₄ and Pt/C was prepared through a ball milling method, as described in the ESI.[†] We have found that the trace of Fe species was introduced into the mixture (*cf.* Tables S1, S2 and S3 in ESI[†]). Fig. 1 shows mass spectra (MS) of pure LiBH₄ and LiBH₄ doped by 10 wt% catalysts of two different particle sizes: *ca.* 4.7 and 16.0 nm; the Pt mean particle sizes of two Pt/C catalysts were determined from TEM images, as shown in Fig. S1 in ESI.[†] The MS profile of pure LiBH₄ in Fig. 1(a) exhibits four hydrogen desorption peaks: corresponding to the structural transition (80–110 °C), melting reaction (280–310 °C) and dehydrogenation reactions



Fig. 1 MS profiles of dehydrogenation reactions of pure LiBH₄ (a) and LiBH₄ doped with 10 wt% Pt/C catalyst with Pt particle sizes of 4.7 (b) and 16.0 nm (c).

^a Shanghai Institute of Microsystem and Information Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200050, P. R. China.

E-mail: hyang@mail.sim.ac.cn; Fax: 86 21 32200534

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu Polytechnic University, Jiangsu, 213164, P. R. China

^c CASI, The City College of New York, New York, 10031, USA

 [†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Material preparation, characterization and results. See DOI: 10.1039/b812476k
 ‡ Dr Juan Xu and Dr Xuebin Yu contributed equally to this work.

(420–510 and 560–650 °C).^{5,12} The main hydrogen desorption reactions start at 420 °C, with peak values at 485 and 610 °C. However, by adding 10 wt% of as-purchased Pt/C catalyst (with a particle size of ca. 4.7 nm) to the LiBH₄ compound, the dehydrogenation temperature of LiBH₄ is significantly reduced, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The first major dehydrogenation peak starts at ca. 280 °C, resulting in ca. 2.2 wt% of hydrogen released from LiBH₄, which is quite different from pure LiBH₄, where only a minor dehydrogenation of 0.6 wt% occurs between 280 and 310 °C. Also, in comparison to pure LiBH₄, the other main dehydrogenation peaks of the Pt/C doped LiBH₄ shift to lower temperatures, with an increased total weight loss of 16.3 wt%, determined from curve b in Fig. S3.[†] These results clearly demonstrate that dehydrogenation of LiBH₄ is significantly improved by doping small amounts of carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles into LiBH₄.

A similar conclusion is reached when doped with 5 wt% catalyst (cf. Fig. S4[†]). Our studies indicate that the temperature for the onset of dehydrogenation decreases even at a catalyst doping loading that would be considered very low in comparison with recently reported results.^{6,11,12} On the other hand, in the case that LiBH₄ doped with 10 wt% catalyst with a mean particle size of 16.0 nm, the temperature for initial hydrogen desorption is found at ca. 300 °C, with two major desorption peaks at 450 and 610 °C, and a hydrogen released amount of 14.1 wt%, as shown in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. S3c.⁺ Generally, the shape, particle size and surface area of the catalyst may have an influence on the hydrogen storage properties of LiBH₄. As reported, the shape of the metal catalyst could have a significant influence on the hydrogen storage properties.¹³ However, in this work, no change in the shapes of Pt nanoparticles was found after heat treatment at 400 °C. Obviously, the onset temperature increases and the dehydrogenation capacity decreases with increase in Pt particle size, indicating an important role of Pt nanoparticle size in dehydrogenation performance of LiBH₄. Since the Pt surface area of the Pt catalysts is inversely proportional to the particle size of Pt nanoparticles (cf. Fig. S5⁺), thus, the improved dehydrogenation properties of LiBH₄ doped with Pt/C may be partly relevant to the change in Pt surface area.

The thermal hydrogen desorption curves of LiBH₄ doped with as-purchased catalyst at different doped amounts are presented in Fig. S6;† while the detailed data are listed in Table S4.† With increase in catalyst loading (up to 50 wt%), the onset dehydrogenation temperature approaches 280 °C, however the two main desorption peaks from LiBH₄ shift to lower temperatures and the total dehydrogenation capacity increases. The dehydrogenation capacity of LiBH₄ doped with 50 wt% catalyst is found to be 18.4 wt%, very close to the theoretical value of *ca.* 18.5 wt%. Hence, one observes that with increase in Pt/C content in mixtures of Pt/C and LiBH₄, there is apparently a remarkable increase in the contact area between Pt/C and LiBH₄, which leads to an improved dehydrogenation of LiBH₄.

The question of recovering LiBH₄ after dehydrogenation, in order to develop a reversible H₂ storage and release system, important for practical applications, is now dealt with. To this end, a mixture of Pt/C and LiBH₄ with a mass ratio of 1 : 9 that had been dehydrogenated under a vacuum up to 600 °C



Fig. 2 MS (a, c) and TG (b, d) profiles for the mixture of Pt/C and LiBH₄ with a mass ratio of 1 : 9. The first dehydrogenation (a, b) and the fourth dehydrogenation after recharging H₂ (c, d).

was rehydrogenated at 600 °C for 24 h under 3 MPa hydrogen pressure. Fig. 2 shows comparisons, respectively, of MS (curves a and c) and TG (curves b and d) for the first dehydrogenation (curves a and b) and the fourth dehydrogenation (curves c and d) after recharging with H₂. The onset temperature for hydrogen desorption for the sample that had not experienced a prior dehydrogenation is ca. 280 °C, while the three main desorption peaks are located at 353, 430 and 605 °C, and the weight loss corresponds to 16.3 wt%. After three cycles, the initial dehydrogenation temperature is still at ca. 280 °C, but only two main dehydrogenation peaks (at 405 and 575 °C) are observed as shown in Fig. 2(c). For cycling measurements, the capacity shown in Fig. 3 is the dehydrogenation capacity of the mixture. After 30 cycles, the capacity was still kept at ca. 6.1 wt%, indicating that the system can reversibly store ca. 6.1 wt% hydrogen, which could meet the US DOE target for automobile application, although the dehydrogenation temperature is still high. Furthermore, the measured mean thermal conductivity for the 10 wt% Pt/C doped LiBH₄ is *ca*. 2.2 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, which is higher than that of pure LiBH₄ with a value of ca. 1.4 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹.¹⁴ Moreover, from the DSC results in Fig. S7,† the endothermic enthalpy of the dehydrogenation reaction of pure LiBH₄ is ca. 67.9 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂, which is close to the previously reported result.15 However, for the 10 wt% Pt/C doped LiBH4, the endothermic reaction enthalpy in the dehydrogenation process is ca. 36.3 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂, which is much lower than that of pure LiBH₄.

As discussed in the literature, the utilization of carbon nanotubes and mesoporous carbon at high catalyst content (50 wt%) results in enhanced dehydrogenation of LiBH₄.^{9,11} To clarify the possible roles of carbon and Pt nanoparticles in



Fig. 3 Dehydrogenation cycling of the 10 wt% Pt/C doped LiBH₄.



Fig. 4 XRD patterns of 10 wt% Pt/C doped LiBH₄ before the first dehydrogenation (a), after the first dehydrogenation at 600 °C for 5 h (b), and after the fourth rehydrogenation at 600 °C for 24 h (c).

catalyzed dehydrogenation of LiBH₄, a comparison of the dehydrogenation behaviors of LiBH₄ doped with 20 wt% XC-72 carbon and 50 wt% Pt/C catalyst (corresponding to a carbon content of 20 wt%) is shown in Fig. S8.† We conclude that Pt nanoparticles rather than carbon play the crucial role in the catalyzed dehydrogenation of LiBH₄. The improved catalytic efficiency when C and Pt are both present is likely attributable to increased contact area between Pt nanoparticles and LiBH₄ during ball-milling process for forming the composite material.

To explore any possible structural change during the dehydrogenation/rehydrogenation process, XRD patterns of LiBH₄ doped with 10 wt% Pt/C before and after the initial dehydrogenation, and the sample after the third dehydrogenation at 600 °C for 5 h and then rehydrogenation at 600 °C for 24 h were acquired, as shown in Fig. 4. Only LiBH₄, Pt and boron diffraction peaks are observed for the mixture before the first dehydrogenation (curve a), indicating that the ball milled product is a physical mixture of Pt/C and LiBH₄; the appearance of boron peaks may result from slight decomposition of LiBH₄ during the ball milling process. However, no XC-72 carbon peaks were observed, probably due to its low amount and amorphous structure. After the first dehydrogenation at 600 °C for 5 h, the LiBH₄ phase disappears, and LiC, LiH and B phases are identified. The possible dehydrogenation reaction may be described as $LiBH_4 \rightarrow LiH + B + 1.5H_2$ and $LiBH_4 + C \rightarrow LiC + B + 2H_2$,¹¹ catalyzed by carbonsupported Pt nanoparticles. In the case of the rehydrogenated sample (curve c), no LiBH₄ is reformed, but many new diffraction peaks are clearly seen, with the exception of the LiC and B peaks. A careful comparison of the XRD pattern of the rehydrogenated sample with the JCPDS cards (i.e. 21-0104 and 42-1097) indicates that these new diffraction peaks can be assigned as the corresponding B₁₆H₂₀ and Li₅PtH₃ phases. Thus, we deduce that the possible catalytic rehydrogenation approaches may be represented as follows: 5LiH + 32B + $19H_2 + Pt \rightarrow Li_5PtH_3 + 2B_{16}H_{20}$. Based on this equation, a mixture of the newly formed Li₅PtH₃ and B₁₆H₂₀, in the stoichiometric ratio of 1 to 2, respectively, might reversibly store ca. 7.0 wt% of hydrogen, which is close to the experimentally reversible hydrogen released amount of ca. 6.1 wt%.

In summary, we have found that Pt nanoparticles play a crucial role in the catalyzed dehydrogenation of ${\rm LiBH_4}$, and

that by using carbon-supported Pt nanoparticles as catalyst, the dehydrogenating properties of LiBH₄ can be improved significantly even at very low catalyst contents. We also report a Pt particle size effect on the catalytic dehydrogenation of LiBH₄ that smaller Pt nanoparticles result in greater enhanced catalytic dehvdrogenation of LiBH₄ than do larger Pt nanoparticles. A key finding is that the mixture of Li₅PtH₃ and B₁₆H₂₀ with a theoretical hydrogen capacity of ca. 7.0 wt% is likely formed during the rehydrogenation/dehydrogenation process. Also, a reversible rehydrogenation/dehydrogenation capacity of ca. 6.1 wt% has been shown, which is close to the theoretical hydrogen capacity of newly formed mixture of Li₅PtH₃ and $B_{16}H_{20}$. It is to be noted that although Pt is expensive, it can be readily recycled in practical applications through hydrolyzation reaction, and our results may open a new and promising way for the nanocatalytic dehydrogenation of LiBH₄.

We acknowledge the financial supports from the National "863" High-Tech. Research Programs of China (2006AA05Z136, 2007AA05Z107, 2007AA05Z149, 2008AA05Z102); the NSF of China (20673136); the 100 People Plan Program of the CAS; and the Pujiang Program of Shanghai City (06PJ14110). D. L. A. thanks the NSF-MRSEC program under grant DMR-0213574 and the NSF-NSEC program under grant CHE-0117752.

Notes and references

- 1 Z. Xiong, C. K. Yong, G. Wu, P. Chen, W. Shaw, A. Karkamkar, T. Autrey, M. O. Jones, S. R. Johnson, P. P. Edwards and W. David, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 7, 138; A. Berg and C. O. Areán, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 668.
- 2 P. Bénard and R. Chahine, Scr. Mater., 2007, 56, 803.
- 3 A. L. M. Reddy and S. Ramaprabhu, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2007, 32, 3998.
- 4 R. J. Keaton, J. M. Blacquiere and R. T. Baker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 1844; F. Schüth, B. Bogdanovié and M. Felderhoff, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 2249; P. Chen, Z. T. Xiong, J. Z. Luo, J. Y. Lin and K. L. Tan, *Nature*, 2002, **420**, 302.
- 5 S. Orimo, Y. Nakamori, G. Kitahara, K. Miwa, N. Ohba, S. Towata and A. Züttel, J. Alloys Compd., 2005, 404–406, 427; Y. Nakamori, A. Ninomiya, G. Kitahara, M. Aoki, T. Noritake, K. Miwa, Y. Kojima and S. Orimo, J. Power Sources, 2006, 155, 447; P. Mauron, F. Buchter, O. Friedrichs, A. Remhof, M. Bielmann, C. N. Zwicky and A. Züttel, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2008, 112, 906.
- 6 A. Züttel, S. Rentsch, P. Fischer, P. Wenger, P. Sudan, P. Mauron and C. Emmenegger, J. Alloys Compd., 2003, 356–357, 515.
- 7 Y. Zhang, W. S. Zhang, M. Q. Fan, S. S. Liu, H. L. Chu, Y. H. Zhang, X. Y. Gao and L. X. Sun, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 4005.
- 8 F. E. Pinkerton, G. P. Meisner, M. S. Meyer, M. P. Balogh and M. D. Kundrat, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, **109**, 6; G. P. Meisner, M. L. Scullin, M. P. Balogh, F. E. Pinkerton and M. S. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 4186.
- 9 Y. Zhang, W. S. Zhang, A. Q. Wang, L. X. Sun, M. Q. Fan, H. L. Chu, J. C. Sun and T. Zhang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2007, **32**, 3976.
- X. B. Yu, D. M. Grant and G. S. Walker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 3906.
 X. B. Yu, Z. Wu, Q. R. Chen, Z. L. Li, B. C. Weng and T. S. Huang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **90**, 1.
- 12 L. Mosegaard, B. Møller, J. E. Jørgensen, U. Bösenberg, M. Dornheim, J. C. Hanson, Y. Cerenius, G. Walker, H. J. Jakobsen, F. Besenbacher and T. R. Jensen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2007, 446–447, 301; J. J. Vajo and G. L. Olson, *Scr. Mater.*, 2007, 56, 829; A. F. Gross, J. J. Vajo, S. L. V. Atta and G. L. Olson, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, 112, 5651.
- 13 S. Kishore, J. A. Nelson, J. H. Adair and P. C. Eklund, J. Alloys Compd., 2005, 389, 234.
- 14 A. V. Talyzin, O. Andersson, B. Sundvist, A. Kurnosov and L. Dubrovinsky, J. Solid State Chem., 2007, 180, 510.
- 15 J. J. Vajo and S. L. Skeith, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 3719.